

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/701,893	12/01/2000	Dennis Bigg	427 038	9852
20311 7	590 04/01/2003			
MUSERLIAN AND LUCAS AND MERCANTI, LLP			EXAMINER	
	600 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10016		COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action



Application No. **09/701,893**

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Brenda Coleman

Art Unit

1624

BIGG et al.



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED/18/03 and 3/24/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	ance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a)	oxtimes The period for reply expires6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
ex ap se	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate tension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1)-the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the illing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.🛛	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>Feb 5, 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. X	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	🕱 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	\Box they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: The amendments to the proviso at the end of claim 11 inserts subject matter back into the claim which was
	previously excluded and thus would require a further consideration and/or search.
3.□	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
3. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
3. 🗆	
3.□	
_	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \overline{\mathbb{X}} request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment claims are replete with errors, such as there is no definition for R.sub.3 in formula I or R'.sub.3 in
4. □ 5. ⊠	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment claims are replete with errors, such as there is no definition for R.sub.3 in formula or R'.sub.3 in formula II. The applicants response also failed to address all of the outstanding rejections, i.e. the 112-1st, 113-3 address all of the outstanding rejections.
4. □ 5. ☒	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \overline{\mathbb{X}} request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment claims are replete with errors, such as there is no definition for R.sub.3 in formula I or R'.sub.3 in formula II. The applicants response also failed to address all of the outstanding rejections, i.e. the 112-1st, 113-2nd 103 and 10 and 10 and 10 the Examiner in the final rejection.
4. □ 5. ⊠	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \overline{\mathbb{X}} request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment claims are replete with errors, such as there is no definition for R.sub.3 in formula I or R'.sub.3 in formula II. The applicants response also failed to address all of the outstanding rejections, i.e. the 112-1st, II \overline{\text{3}} and \overline{\text{0}} and \overline{\text{0}} and \overline{\text{0}} and \overline{\text{0}} and \overline{\text{0}} and \overline{\text{0}} and \overline{\text{0}} and \ove
4. □ 5. ☒	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment claims are replete with errors, such as there is no definition for R.sub.3 in formula I or R'.sub.3 in formula II. The applicants response also failed to address all of the outstanding rejections, i.e. the 112-1st, II 3-2nd IO and IO a
4. □ 5. ☒	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\
4. □ 5. ☒	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment claims are replete with errors, such as there is no definition for R.sub.3 in formula or R'.sub.3 in formu
4. □ 5. ☒	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment claims are replete with errors, such as there is no definition for R. sub. 3 in formula I or R'. sub. 3 in formula II. The applicants response also failed to address all of the outstanding rejections, i.e. the 112-1st, II 3-2nd The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) rejected: 3, 4, and 9-13
4. □ 5. ☒ 6. □ 7. ☒	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
4. □ 5. ☒ 6. □ 7. ☒	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
4. □ 5. ☒ 6. □ 7. ☒	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)

ART UNIT 1624